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Symbolize the following:-
a. Conjunction (.) : Roses are red and violets are blue.

Roses are red (p) p.q
violets are blue(q) and (.)
b. Negation ( ~ ) : Lead is not heavier than gold.
~ L
c. Disjunction (v) : Tea or coffee.

Tea (p)
p vq
Coffee(q)
$\operatorname{Or}(\mathrm{v})$

## NUMERICAL QUESTIONS:

1. Rossy and belly is not both be selected.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rossy -p, belly-q, and-(.), not }(\sim) \\
\sim(p . q)
\end{gathered}
$$

2. The words of his mouth were smoother than butter, but war was in his heart.
The words of his mouth were smoother than butter-(p)
war was in his heart(q)

$$
\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{q}
$$

1. Either Atlanta wins their conference championship and Baltimore wins their conference championship or chicago wins the superbowl.

Atlanta wins their conference championship- (p) Baltimore wins their conference championship-(q)
Chicago wins the superbowl-(r)

$$
(\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{q}) \mathrm{v} \mathrm{r}
$$

4. If Alice is elected class president, then either Betty is elected vice- president or Carol is elected treasurer. Betty is elected vicepresident. Therefore, if Alice is elected class- president, then Carol is not elected treasurer.
Implication - 3 means if, then
Alice is elected class president (p), Betty is elected vicepresident ( q ) , Carol is elected treasurer ( r )
$\mathrm{p} \supset(\mathrm{q} \vee \mathrm{r})$
q
.. $\mathrm{p} 于^{\sim} \mathrm{r}$

## Truth Table : ~p-Negation of $p$ pvq-Disjunction p.q-Conjunction p $3 \mathbf{q}$ - Implication

| $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{q}$ | $\mathcal{\sim}$ | $\mathcal{\sim} \mathbf{q}$ | $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}$ | $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{q}$ | $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F | F | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | F | T | F |
| F | T | T | F | F | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | F | F | T |

Use truth tables to determine the validity or invalidity of the following argument forms:

1. p.q

* p

| $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{q}$ | $\mathbf{p . q}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | T |

Ans. - Valid

| T | F | F | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | T | F | F |
| F | F | F | F |

2. $p$

* $q$ Э p

| $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{q}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{q}$ ว $\mathbf{~}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | T |
| F | T | F | F |
| F | F | F | T |

3. p Э ( q Эr)
p 3 q

* q 〕r

Ans. Invalid
Shown by $6^{\text {th }}$ row

| p | q | r | p ( q つr r | p $\supset \mathbf{q}$ | qJ r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | T | T | T |
| T | T | F | F | T | F |
| T | F | T | T | F | T |
| T | F | F | T | F | T |
| F | T | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | F | T | T | F |
| F | F | T | T | T | T |
| F | F | F | T | T | T |

Statement Forms: we define it any sequence of symbols containing statement variables, such that when statements are substituted for the statement variables- the same statement being substituted for every occurrence of the same statement variable throughout - the result is a statement.

For example, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C are different simple statements, the compound statement A ( $\mathrm{B} \vee \mathrm{C}$ ) is a substitution instance of the statement form $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{q}$, and also of the statement form p . ( v r $)$, but only the latter is the specific form of the given statement.

Tautology: A statement form that has only true substitution instance is said to be tautologous, or a tautology

The truth table of tautology -

| $p$ | $\sim p$ | $p v^{\sim} p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |

Contradiction: A statement form that has only false substitution instances is said to be contradiction.

| $p$ | $\sim p$ | $p \sim p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |

Contingent : A statement form that has both true and false substitution instances is said to be contingent.

| $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{q}$ | $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |


| $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{q}$ | $\mathbf{p} \equiv \mathbf{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |

Materially Equivalent: Two statements are said to be materially equivalent when they have the same truth value, and we symbolize it by inserting the symbol ' $\equiv$ ' between them. symbol ' $\equiv$ ' may be read 'if and only if' and also called a biconditional.

## Logically Equivalent: Two statements are said to be logically

 equivalent when the biconditional express the material equivalence is a tautology. ( $\mathrm{p} \equiv^{\sim} \mathrm{p}$ )| $\mathbf{p}$ | $\sim^{\sim} \mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{p} \equiv{ }^{\sim}{ }^{\sim} \mathbf{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| F | F | T |

## Exercises:

1. Use truth tables to characterize the following statement forms as tautologous, contradictory, or contingent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p \cdot q) \supset^{\sim} p \\
& (p \supset P) \supset(q \cdot p) \\
& p \text { Э } q \text { Э }\left[(q \vee r) \partial^{\sim}(r \cdot p)\right] \\
& (p \cdot q) \supset q
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Use truth tables to decide which of the following are logical equivalences:
3. $(\mathrm{p}$ Ј q$) \equiv\left(\sim \mathrm{p} \mathrm{J}^{\sim} \mathrm{q}\right)$

$$
\text { 2. }[\mathrm{p} \vee(\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{r})] \equiv(\sim \mathrm{q} \supset \sim \mathrm{p})
$$


4. ~ ( p 〕 q $) \equiv\left[(\mathrm{q} . \mathrm{r}) \mathrm{J}^{\sim}(\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{v} \mathrm{p})\right]$
3.Use truth tables to determine the validity and invalidity of each of the following argument forms:

1. $\mathrm{p} \vee \mathrm{q}$
p
2. $\mathrm{p} \stackrel{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{q}$
p vq

* $\mathbf{q}$
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